



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/726,510	12/01/2000	Sung-Kyu Choi	Q61373	1094	
75	7590 03/24/2006			EXAMINER	
	SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			CZEKAJ, DAVID J	
2100 Pennsylva	nia Avenue, N.W.				
Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2 ,			2621		

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	09/726,510	CHOI, SUNG-KYU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dave Czekaj	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No	ovember 2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	his action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Statement(s) (PTO-1452)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/21/05 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

On pages 5-6, applicant argues that neither Iverson nor Jeong teach coding the entire input frame in the intra or inter coding mode. While the applicant's points are understood, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner notes that regardless of whether the frame is evaluated in units of blocks or macroblocks, Jeong discloses in column 7, lines 18-34 and Iverson discloses in column 5, lines 42-65, that the entire frame is encoded in either an intra or inter mode based on the result of the comparison of the SAD value with a threshold. Therefore the rejection has been maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2616

3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over liverson et al. (5832234), (hereinafter referred to as "Iverson").

Regarding claims 1-3, Iverson discloses an apparatus that relates to block classification schemes for encoding images using block transforms (Iverson: column 1, lines 10-12). This apparatus comprises "comparing frames to detect a sum of absolute pixel differences value" (Iverson: column 5, lines 42-61, wherein the sum of absolute pixel differences value is the SAD, comparing frames is the process of comparing blocks) and "an SAD examiner for generating coding selection information for coding the entire present frame in the intra mode when the SAD value exceeds a predetermined threshold or in the inter mode when the SAD value does not exceed the predetermined threshold" (Iverson: figures 6A-6B, column 9, lines 5-11). Although Iverson fails to show the first and second memories as disclosed, Iverson does show the current and reference frame data being applied as separate inputs to the motion estimator (Iverson: figure 3, item 302). The examiner notes that having the current and reference frame data being applied as separate inputs suggests that the current and reference frame data are stored in different storage mediums. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the different storage means/memories in order to make the apparatus more efficient by allowing the system to perform more complex operations due to the increase in memory.

Application/Control Number: 09/726,510

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 4, Iverson discloses "receiving a plurality of SAD values of present input frame data and generating the coding selection information after the plurality of SAD values of the present input frame data are received" (Iverson: column 5, lines 42-65, wherein the plurality of SAD values is the summing of the plurality of pixels, the selection information is whether to encode the block using the inter or intra modes).

Regarding claim 5, Iverson discloses "each of the plurality of SAD values of the present input frame data are compared with the predetermined SAD threshold to code the frame data in one of the intra or inter coding modes" (Iverson: column 5, lines 42-65, wherein the plurality of SAD values are contained within the inter-SAD value, the predetermined SAD threshold is the specified threshold).

Regarding claims 6-7, note the examiners rejection for claims 4-5.

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jeong (6393060).

Regarding claims 1-3, Jeong discloses an apparatus for coding and decoding low transfer rate video images (Jeong: column 1, lines 7-9). This apparatus comprises "comparing frames to detect an SAD" (Jeong: column 7, lines 37-38, wherein the SAD value is the difference between frames) and "an SAD examiner for generating coding selection information for coding the entire present frame in the intra mode when the SAD value exceeds a predetermined threshold or in the inter mode when the SAD value does not exceed the

Art Unit: 2616

predetermined threshold" (Jeong: column 7, lines 19-39, wherein the threshold is the value A, the SAD value is the SAD). Although Jeong fails to show the first and second memories as disclosed, Jeong does show one memory for storing the frame data needed for the SAD calculations (Jeong: figure 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the apparatus disclosed by Jeong and split the one memory into two memories in order to make the apparatus more efficient by allowing the system to perform more complex operations due to the increase in memory.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Czekaj whose telephone number is (571) 272-7327. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DJC

PRIMARY EXAMINER